Brazilian
JOURNAL OF HEALTH AROMATHERAPY AND ESSENTIAL OIL

Review

From Morphology to Function: Integrating Esthetic Oral Rehabilitation with Occlusal Biomechanics and Temporomandibular Stability

Gilmar Augustinho Goncalves[1]

[1] Uniarte Dental Prosthesis Laboratory, Brazil.

* Correspondence: uniartelaboratorio@terra.com.br

Abstract: Objectives: The interface between esthetic rehabilitation and functional stability of the stomatognathic system represents a persistent challenge in contemporary dentistry. This narrative review examines the interrelationship between dental morphology, occlusal biomechanics, supracrestal tissue integrity, and temporomandibular joint health, and introduces a biologically grounded framework for restorative decision making.

Data Sources: A structured review of peer reviewed literature was conducted focusing on occlusal concepts, emergence profile design, digital workflow integration, bruxism, and temporomandibular disorders within the context of esthetic rehabilitation.

Data Synthesis: Available evidence indicates that purely cosmetic approaches frequently overlook dynamic functional demands, increasing the risk of mechanical complications and periodontal instability. Dental morphology, particularly cusp inclination and anterior guidance, plays a critical role in force distribution and joint loading. The Esthetic Biological Contour concept has refined restorative margin design by defining critical and subcritical zones necessary to preserve supracrestal tissue attachment. Although occlusion is rarely the primary cause of temporomandibular disorders, occlusal instability and iatrogenic interferences may perpetuate dysfunction in susceptible individuals. Contemporary digital technologies, including Digital Smile Design and jaw tracking systems, enable functional simulation prior to definitive treatment.

Conclusion: Predictable long term outcomes in esthetic oral rehabilitation depend on integrating biological preservation with biomechanical stability. The proposed Morphological Functional Integration Model synthesizes these principles, emphasizing tissue integrity, axial force control, and neuromuscular adaptation as central determinants of restorative success.

Keywords: Esthetic Dentistry; Occlusion; Temporomandibular Disorders; Biologic Width; Digital Smile Design; Oral Rehabilitation; Bruxism; Emergence Profile.

Citation: Goncalves GA. From Morphology to Function: A Comprehensive Review of Esthetic Oral Rehabilitation and Temporomandibular Disorders. Brazilian Journal of Aromatherapy and Essential Oil. 2026;3: bjhae.

doi: https://doi.org/10.62435/2965-7253.bjhaeo.2026.bjhaeo

Received: 30 January 2026
Accepted: 05 February 2026
Published: 15 February 2026

1. Introduction

Evolution from Cosmetic Dentistry to Biologically Driven Esthetics

Historically, esthetic dentistry was often equated with cosmetic dentistry, a discipline primarily focused on visual enhancement. Early restorative strategies emphasized shade matching and geometric proportionality, frequently guided by static concepts such as the Golden Proportion [1]. While visually appealing, these approaches occasionally neglected biological and functional parameters.

Over the past two decades, restorative philosophy has shifted toward a biofunctional paradigm. Esthetics is increasingly understood not as an isolated visual outcome but as the external expression of biological stability and functional harmony [1].

Contemporary restorative dentistry recognizes that morphological changes must respect periodontal architecture and neuromuscular dynamics to achieve durable results.

The development of adhesive technologies and high strength ceramics has facilitated minimally invasive approaches that preserve tooth structure while restoring function [1]. Nevertheless, excessive focus on white esthetics without adequate consideration of periodontal architecture and occlusal stability continues to contribute to restorative failure [2].

2. Methodology

This narrative review was developed through a structured search of peer reviewed articles indexed in PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science. The search encompassed publications from 2010 to 2025 addressing esthetic rehabilitation, occlusal biomechanics, bruxism, temporomandibular disorders, supracrestal tissue attachment, emergence profile design, and digital workflow integration.

Search terms included combinations of esthetic dentistry, occlusion, biologic width, supracrestal tissue attachment, bruxism, temporomandibular disorders, Digital Smile Design, jaw tracking, and implant biomechanics. Priority was given to systematic reviews, umbrella reviews, controlled clinical studies, biomechanical finite element analyses, and concept defining publications. Case reports were included selectively to illustrate translational applicability.

This review aims to provide conceptual integration rather than quantitative meta analysis.

3. Clinical Relevance of Integrating Morphology and Function

The masticatory system operates as an integrated biomechanical chain involving teeth, periodontal ligaments, muscles of mastication, and the temporomandibular joints. Alterations in dental morphology, such as excessive cusp angulation or unstable anterior guidance, may redirect occlusal forces in a non axial manner, increasing stress concentrations and predisposing to mechanical and biological complications [2,3].

In implant supported restorations, where periodontal ligament proprioception and shock absorption are absent, morphological precision becomes even more critical. Occlusal overload in such contexts may accelerate bone remodeling or contribute to mechanical failure [3].

Digital visualization tools improve communication; however, systems that analyze static facial and dental relationships may not fully respect the patient's dynamic functional envelope [9,10]. Failure to consider parafunctional pathways and eccentric movements can result in ceramic chipping, screw loosening, and temporomandibular symptoms [2,8].

4. Dental Morphology as a Determinant of Occlusal Stability

Cusp Anatomy and Mandibular Dynamics

Dental morphology defines the boundaries of mandibular movement. Cusp inclination and fossa depth influence the direction and magnitude of occlusal forces. Finite element analyses consistently demonstrate that steeper cusp inclinations are associated with higher stress concentrations within restorations and supporting structures [3].

Appropriate anterior guidance remains fundamental for posterior disclusion, minimizing lateral shear forces on posterior teeth and implant supported prostheses [2].

Curves of Spee and Wilson

The curves of Spee and Wilson facilitate smooth mandibular excursions and support axial force distribution. Disruption of these curves, whether due to tooth loss, wear, or improper restoration, may introduce occlusal interferences and non axial loading [2].

Anterior Guidance

Anterior guidance directs mandibular movement during eccentric excursions. Loss of this guidance through wear or inadequate contouring shifts lateral forces to posterior teeth, which are less adapted to resist such loads. This redistribution of force is frequently associated with mechanical complications, particularly in implant supported restorations [2,3].

Morphological Deviations and Functional Consequences

Flattened occlusal surfaces may impair force dissipation, increasing apical stress. Conversely, over contoured occlusal tables can increase lever arms, amplifying torque forces and potentially contributing to crestal bone stress [3].

5. Occlusal Biomechanics and Load Distribution

Mutually protected occlusion remains widely accepted for natural dentition and fixed prostheses [2]. Posterior teeth stabilize vertical dimension, while anterior teeth guide excursions. Functional forces are intermittent and primarily vertical, whereas parafunctional forces associated with bruxism are often prolonged and multidirectional [8].

Although the direct causal relationship between occlusion and temporomandibular disorders remains debated, occlusal instability may act as a perpetuating factor in susceptible individuals [11]. Heterogeneity in diagnostic criteria and bruxism classification weakens definitive conclusions regarding causality [8].

Vertical Dimension Considerations

Increasing occlusal vertical dimension is frequently necessary in worn dentition. Evidence suggests that moderate increases can be well tolerated when implemented cautiously [13]. However, most available data derive from case series and retrospective analyses, underscoring the importance of provisional testing before definitive rehabilitation [12].

6. Emergence Profile and Supracrestal Tissue Attachment

The supracrestal tissue attachment represents the biological dimension coronal to the alveolar crest comprising junctional epithelium and connective tissue [5,6]. Violation of this zone may lead to inflammation and bone remodeling [6].

The Esthetic Biological Contour concept divides the emergence profile into crestal, subcritical, and critical zones [4]. Subcritical concavity may promote connective tissue volume, whereas excessive convexity may compromise vascular supply and increase inflammatory risk [4]. Although clinically valuable, quantitative parameters defining optimal contour geometry remain largely empirical and warrant further longitudinal investigation.

The Biologically Oriented Preparation Technique allows vertical preparation to modulate soft tissue architecture; however, long term histological validation remains limited [6].

7. Esthetic Rehabilitation, TMD, and Bruxism

The relationship between occlusion and temporomandibular disorders is multifactorial. Contemporary evidence supports a biopsychosocial model rather than a purely mechanical etiology [11]. Irreversible occlusal modification is not routinely indicated for prevention [11].

However, unstable occlusion, deflective contacts, and untested vertical changes may perpetuate dysfunction in predisposed individuals [8,12]. Occlusal splints remain a valuable diagnostic and transitional tool before definitive restorative intervention [12].

8. Digital Workflow and Functional Planning

Integration of intraoral scanning and cone beam computed tomography enables the creation of a virtual patient [7]. Digital Smile Design has evolved into a structured three dimensional planning protocol that improves communication and interdisciplinary coordination [9,10].

Reverse planning ensures that surgical and restorative phases are guided by the intended prosthetic outcome. Functional mock ups serve not only as esthetic previews but also as dynamic tests of phonetics, lip support, and anterior guidance [7].

Digital workflows facilitate minimally invasive preparation by controlling material thickness and preserving enamel substrate, enhancing adhesive longevity [1,7].

9. Morphological Functional Integration Model

The Morphological Functional Integration Model synthesizes biological and biomechanical principles into a unified protocol.

Core Principles

Preservation of supracrestal tissue attachment through controlled emergence profile design [4,5].

Dynamic occlusal planning that considers functional envelopes rather than static intercuspation alone [2].

Structural biomimicry through material selection appropriate to functional risk [3].

Limitations and Future Directions

The Morphological Functional Integration Model represents a conceptual integration rather than a validated clinical algorithm. Prospective longitudinal studies are required to compare outcomes between MFIM guided rehabilitation and conventional protocols. Its contribution lies in reframing esthetic rehabilitation as a biologically and functionally integrated process.

Conclusion

Esthetic rehabilitation cannot be approached as a purely visual discipline. Morphology and function are inseparable determinants of long term success. By respecting supracrestal tissue integrity, distributing forces axially, and aligning restorative design with neuromuscular adaptation, clinicians may enhance biological stability and mechanical durability.

The Morphological Functional Integration Model provides a structured framework that bridges esthetic design and functional physiology.

Research Ethics Committee Approval: None.

Acknowledgments: None.

Conflicts of Interest: None.

Supplementary Materials: None.

References

1. Blatz MB, Conejo J, Chaiyabutr Y, Kois JC. Evolution of aesthetic dentistry. J Dent Res. 2019;98(12):1294-1304. doi:10.1177/0022034519875450.
2. Aldowish A, Alharkan M, Alrashidi H, Alshammari S, Alyami Y, Alobaid A. Occlusion and its role in the long-term success of dental restorations and prostheses. Cureus. 2024;16(11):e73195. doi:10.7759/cureus.73195.
3. Aydemir H, Kara-Torun H. Biomechanical and occlusal factors influencing biological and technical complications in implant-supported prostheses: a review. Int J Implant Dent. 2024;10:13. doi:10.1186/s40729-024-00550-1.
4. Gómez-Meda R, Morimoto S, Angeles L, Serafini A, Del Fabbro M. The esthetic biological contour concept for implant restoration emergence profile design. J Esthet Restor Dent. 2021;33(1):61-67. doi:10.1111/jerd.12714.
5. Jepsen S, Caton JG, Albandar JM, Bissada NF, Bouchard P, Cortellini P, et al. Periodontal manifestations of systemic diseases and developmental and acquired conditions: supracrestal tissue attachment. J Clin Periodontol. 2018;45(Suppl 20):S203-S213. doi:10.1111/jcpe.12890.
6. Nugala B, Kumar BS, Sahitya S, Krishna PM. Biologic width and its importance in periodontal health and restorative dentistry. J Conserv Dent. 2012;15(1):12-17. doi:10.4103/0972-0707.97914.
7. Cacciò C, Tallarico M, Lumbau AI, Ceruso FM, Pisano M. The role of digital workflow in creating a new, esthetic and functional smile in a periodontally compromised patient: a case report. Reports (Basel). 2025;8(3):105. doi:10.3390/reports8030105.
8. Melo G, Duarte J, Pauletto P, Porporatti AL, Stuginski-Barbosa J, Winocur E, et al. Bruxism: an umbrella review of systematic reviews. J Oral Rehabil. 2019;46(7):666-690. doi:10.1111/joor.12801.
9. Alharkan H, Alharbi M, Ahmad N. Integrating digital smile design into restorative dentistry: a narrative review of the applications and benefits. Saudi Dent J. 2024;36(5):561-567. doi:10.1016/j.sdentj.2023.12.014.
10. Jafri Z, Ahmad N, Sawai M, Sultan N, Bhardwaj A. Digital smile design—an innovative tool in aesthetic dentistry. J Oral Biol Craniofac Res. 2020;10(2):194-198.
11. Minervini G, Franco R, Marrapodi MM, et al. Prosthodontic treatment in temporomandibular disorders: a narrative review. Cureus. 2024;16:e73195. doi:10.7759/cureus.73195.
12. Pihut M, Szuta M, Ferendiuk E, Zeńczak-Wieckiewicz D. Differential diagnostics of pain in the course of temporomandibular disorders and occlusal stabilization splint therapy. PLoS One. 2017;12(4):e0173320. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0173320.
13. Rizos D, et al. Complete morphofunctional oral rehabilitation by physiological increase of occlusal vertical dimension according to the neuromuscular concept (R. Slavicek) in a bruxer patient: a clinical report. Rom J Morphol Embryol. 2015;56(1):269-274.
14. Cacciò C, Tallarico M, Lumbau AI, Ceruso FM, Pisano M. Mandibular motion analysis and digital workflow integration in functional rehabilitation. Reports (Basel). 2025;8(3):105. doi:10.3390/reports8030105.